

# Directions for C++0x

Bjarne Stroustrup

AT&T Labs – Research

<http://www.research.att.com/~bs>

# Prerequisites for goals

- Exciting enough to attract good people to the committee
- Ambitious enough to serve users well
- Compatible enough to make transition simple
- Specific enough to clearly state aim

# If we do nothing about direction

- C++ will fossilize
- C++ will become de facto proprietary
- C++ will be dominated by bindings to external “standards”
- The committee will become a small club
  - Will focus on minute details of increasing irrelevance to programmers
- Changes will be made without direction
  
- Some say that this has already happened, but 1997-2001 was a deliberate period of calm to enhance stability
  - Now is the time to start discussing and planning

# Prerequisites imply

- Focus on a few major topics
- Minor changes should be done to increase language and standard library uniformity and consistency
  - No major new language features are needed
- Changes should be focused on support for programming styles and for application areas
  - Not language technicalities

# Overall goals

- Make C++ a better language for systems programming and library building
  - Rather than providing specialized facilities for a particular sub-community (e.g. numeric computation or Windows application development)
- Make C++ easier to teach and learn

# My view of directions

- Extend standard primarily through major standard library additions
  - Provide support for distributed programming
  - Improve support for platform-independent systems programming
- Remove inconsistencies and errors from core language
  - Don't add major extensions
  - Remove embarrassments
- Offer a merger of C and C++ standards
  - We need a small joint C/C++ group to agree on rules for a merger
- Don't compromise C++ as a systems programming language
  - 0-overhead principle
- Minimize incompatibilities with C++98
  - Complete compatibility infeasible
  - Be as careful as the C committee was

# Standard library ideas

(suggested concrete examples)

- Simple elements of standard platform
  - set of resource handles supporting “resource acquisition is initialization”
  - directories, TCP/IP, advanced I/O (async, multiplexed, memory mapped)...
- Distributed computing
  - XTI (eXtended Type Information)
  - Threads
  - Remote invocation (incl. Async)
  - Remote instantiation, name server interface
- Make the standard library central to bindings to other systems
  - CORBA, SQL, ...
- Add a few “general utility” facilities
  - Hash\_map
  - Pattern matching

# Core language ideas

(suggested concrete examples)

- Increase consistency
  - identical lookup for functions and function objects
- Improve support for generic programming
  - template typedefs, typeof()
- Remove embarrassments
  - Frequent questions, frequent novice errors
    - a vector and a string that are range checked by default
    - Prohibit default copying of objects with destructors
    - Give a class with virtual functions a virtual destructor by default
  - `vector<list<int>>`